

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT - 09/23/96 - ANDERSON-EXAMINER LM02/0726 JOSEPH A SAWYER JR SAWYER & ASSOCIATES P.O. BOX 51418 PALO ALTO CA 94304 HAPAPHRIMINAF DATE MAILED: Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION	
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:	
a) 🔲 le extended to run or continues to run from the date of the final rejection	
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection	later. In n
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a patition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the ap The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the dat purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuan 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) at	propriate for the
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).	_
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed $\frac{7/(1/\sqrt{5})}{1}$ has been considered with the following effect, but it is to place the application in condition for allowance:	not deems
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because	:
 a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was no presented. 	t earlier
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).	
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).	
 They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the interpretable. 	ssues for
e. [] They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: The initial claims did not include the limit of striking the marks in separate location from the	ation
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment the non-allowable claims.	nt cancellin
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the cl	aims will
Claims allowed:	
Claims objected to:	
However:	
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because	^
to the rejection because	
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was no presented.	ot earlier
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.	
Other Wendy Garbe	\ \

- ---- Patent Examiner